







# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

TO TO THE TOTAL OF	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO. 09/771,416	01/26/2001	Daniel Pellerin	13493	6341	
	90 09/09/2002		EXAMINER		
SHELDON & MAK Suite 900 225 South Lake Avenue			MOHANDESI, JILA M		
Pasadena, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
	Apı	olication N .	pplicant(s)	. •			
Office Action Summary		771,416	PELLERIN, DANIE	<u>:</u> L			
		miner	Art Unit				
		M Mohandesi	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the proparter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this lift the period for reply specified above is less than to lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximal Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70-  Status	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within num statutory period will app or reply will, by statute, cause onths after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a r the statutory minimum of thir ly and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely  ITHS from the mailing date of this co  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>j.</i> Immunication.			
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>14 June</u>	<u> 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)☐ This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in	• •						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	estriction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Application Papers	46.5						
9) The specification is objected to I			ha Francisco				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is		•					
Applicant may not request that an 11) The proposed drawing correction				Eveniner			
If approved, corrected drawings a			a b)□ disapproved by the	Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is object	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	OI.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		rity under 35 II S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None		inty under 55 0.5.6.	3 113(a)-(d) of (f).				
1. Certified copies of the pri		ve heep received					
2. Certified copies of the pr	•		polication No				
<u> </u>			received in this National	Stago			
application from the I  * See the attached detailed Office	nternational Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stag <del>e</del>			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreig							
Attachment(s)	·	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)		5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PTo				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary	Part o	f Paper No. 5			

Art Unit: 3728

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Tanel (5,058,292) in view of Kawashima et al. (4,590,693). Tanel `292 discloses an universal cleat suitable for use for multiple different baseball shoes, the shoes having a recess in the sole (column 8, lines 63-66) for receiving a cleat, the cleat comprising a generally triangular base (11) with a substantially flat bottom for fitting into a plurality of different shaped recesses in different shoes (column 9, lines 1-3); a grip (13) projecting from the base in a plane substantially perpendicular to the plane of the base; and a mounting hole (29) in the base, the mounting hole can have any convenient shape (column 7, line 6-8). Tanel '292 does not appear to have a threaded opening in the recess for receiving a retaining screw to hold the cleat in place. Kawashima `693 discloses a baseball shoe having a recess (5) with threaded opening (4) therein for receiving a retaining screw (9) to hold a cleat (8) in place and allow for easier replacement of the cleat. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a threaded opening in the recess of Tanel '292 for receiving a retaining screw as taught by Kawashima '693 for easier replacements of the universal cleats.

Art Unit: 3728

With respect to claims 2, and 5 the universal cleat will inherently wiggle in the recess in the absence of the retaining fastener.

With regard to claims 3 and 6, the ratio of the length of the mounting hole to the width of the mounting hole would be a design choice depending on the shape and size of the mounting hole.

With regard to claims 8 and 9, the relationship between the area of the base and the area of the recess will depend on the type and size of shoes being used.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the length and weight of the mounting hole and area of the base and the recess, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size and shape of the component. A change in size and shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 14, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the present invention is directed to a universal cleat, so that a single cleat can be used with baseball shoes provided by different manufactures, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference

Art Unit: 3728

as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the above references are directed to different attachment means for attaching a cleat to a baseball shoe. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a threaded opening in the recess of Tanel '292 for receiving a retaining screw as taught by Kawashima '693 for easier replacements of the universal cleats.

### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3728

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of application or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the Examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648. For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 872-9302. (Note that the Examiner cannot confirm receipt of faxes) Please identify Examiner Mohandesi of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted. Inquiries only concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Jila Mohandesi whose telephone number is (703) 305-7015. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (703) 308-2672.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, for PTO-892, etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Valerie Douglas at (703) 308-1337.

Check out our web-site at "www.uspto.gov" for fees and other useful information.

Art Unit: 3728

Page 6

Jila M. Mohandesi Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM August 27, 2002

Mickey Yu

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700